Theoretical analysis of various climatic parameter effects on performance of a basin solar still
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Abstract

A numerical simulation of a basin solar still under various climatic parameters was performed. The proposed system was modeled using the mass and energy balance equations of different parts of the solar still. The resulting system of nonlinear algebraic equations was solved numerically and the effect of various parameters, such as wind speed, air temperature and solar radiation on the amount of distilled water produced was described. The results showed that the ambient temperature and wind speed had no significant effect on the amount of distilled water produced, while the amount of solar radiation exerted a direct effect on the amount of distilled water produced and performance of the system.
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1. Introduction

Development of renewable energies such as solar energy has attracted many researchers’ attention in recent years [1–4]. Researchers reported that wherever water is available, solar desalination has been one of the best ways to produce potable water. However, the rapid increase in prices of different fossil fuels and the availability of solar energy in recent years, even in remote areas of the world, has increased the attractiveness of solar energy. Therefore, researchers have carried out studies in the context of improving the use of solar energy. For example, some researchers proposed new working fluids for solar systems [5–9].

Basin solar stills could be used as a useful technology for producing distilled water from salt water [10, 11]. This process occurs primarily based on water surface evaporation and condensation of water vapor. Many studies have been conducted in the field of basin solar still types, examining various methods of optimizing the desalination process and functional efficiency of various pieces of apparatus [12, 13].

Encountering the problem of storage in distillation equipment, i.e. the accumulation of salt, Minassian et al. [14] evaluated the possibility of increasing the production rate of a basin solar still with a common pond model using a cylindrical parabolic reflector. They found that the production rate of the solar distillation equipment with a common pond model was increased through the use of a cylindrical parabolic reflector made of stainless steel. The results showed that the production rate of the new solar distillation apparatus was 35–25% higher than the rate of production of solar distillation equipment with a common pond model. Kumar et al. [15] showed numerically the annual performance of solar distillation equipment for the climatic conditions of Delhi. They provided analytical expressions for the temperature of the water, the glass cover, efficiency based on design, and climatic parameters. It was observed that for the given parameters, the annual production was optimal when the slope of the aggregate was 20° and the slope of the glass cover of distillation equipment was 15°. Ayav et al. [16] performed research in the IZTECH database, experimentally and numerically. Comparison between the two studies suggested good agreement between the experimental results and the results of theoretical calculations. Moreover, their study showed that the efficiency of the system achieves up to 45% in March, producing up to 3.5 l/m² day of distilled water. Radwan et al. [17] carried out a comparison between the experimental results and those of theoretical calculations for a basin solar still in Egypt. They derived the amount of production of distilled water from a system with specific dimensions for 4 months and showed that the mean production of distilled water in August was higher than the amount produced in June and July. Rajan et al. [18] investigated the use of a multi basin solar still to increase productivity. They integrated the multi basin still with a biomass heat source. Their system increased the water temperature in the sword and increased productivity...
Asbik et al. [19] presented an exergy analysis of a passive solar still combined with a heat storage system. They used paraffin wax as phase change material (PCM) to store/retrieve energy. They determined the magnitude of exergy losses during the heat storage/retrieve period. They found that latent heat storage enhanced water productivity and reduced exergy efficiency. Srithar et al. [20] experimentally tested a stand-alone triple basin solar desalination system. Their results revealed that a triple basin glass solar still (TBSS) with charcoal and TBSS with river sand enhance the distillate by 34.2 and 25.6% more than conventional TBSS distillates. They also found that the presence of a concentrator increased the lower basin water temperature by up to 85 °C. They achieved a maximum distillate yield of 16.94 kg/m² day for TBSS with a concentrator, cover cooling and charcoal in fins.

A review of previous works revealed that basin solar stills have been experimentally and theoretically investigated in many studies in several countries. However, basin solar stills are less studied in Iran compared to other countries. Moreover, some assumptions have been considered that do not often happen in reality. Hence, in this paper, efforts are made to simulate an actual basin solar still with minimal assumptions. To achieve this purpose, a thermal model for estimating the efficiency of a basin solar still is introduced, using the mass and energy balance equations for various components of the still.

2. Statement of the problem

In this paper, a basin solar still (Fig. 1) is numerically simulated. The main components of a solar desalination unit are as follows:

- Pond for storing a sufficient amount of salt water
- Transparent cover (glass or plastic) to allow solar radiation to pass with minimum loss of energy
- Channels for collecting distilled water

The water pond is 10 cm deep. The temperature of the surface water is considered $T_i$. The transparent cover, which plays an important role in energy absorption, is exposed to solar radiation. This surface is in contact with air from the outside. The temperature of the glass cover is considered $T_g$. The bottom of the pond, which is usually a black plate, is responsible for absorbing energy. The bottom of pond temperature is also considered $T_b$. The inside air of the desalination chamber is constantly saturated and is considered temperature $T_r$.

In a simple basin solar still, the whole process is based on surface evaporation. The water is heated by the radiation emitted from the sun. The water is then heated and evaporates and rises to the glass cover because of the density difference. The temperature of the coating is lower than the internal air temperature of the still. Therefore, the saturated moist air in the still condenses on reaching the glass cover, the condensed heat warms the glass coating and heat is exchanged with the surroundings and the air in the still by convection and radiation. Liquid droplets flow down and are gathered in a channel at the southern end of the glass cover of the still and, then, are collected in a reservoir outside the still. The efficiency of the basin solar still equals the rate of evaporated water divided by the amount of radiation in the surface unit of the pond on a given day. The performance of a basin solar still can be described by writing the energy and mass balance equations for various components of the still.

3. The equations and computational model

The general details of the computational model are based on the laws of mass and energy conservation in every part of the desalination unit. The main assumptions in establishing a physical model are defined as follows:

- The whole system is in a steady state. This means that at one hour intervals, the system is assumed to be stable.
- The heat loss by radiation from the walls of the chamber (except the glass cover) is negligible.
- Due to distillation, the temperature of the walls is equal to the water temperature, which is the average of interface temperature and the bottom temperature.
- Some thermo-physical properties of fluid variable with temperature including thermal conductivity, density, specific heat and convective heat transfer coefficient (for ambient air) can be considered constant.
- The desalination unit and its parts are considered as the control volume, and the resistance to heat transfer of the thermal conductivity of glass is neglected.

Firstly, mass and energy balance equations should be considered for the entire desalination unit (Eq. 1).
Energy balance in Fig. 2 shows that the energy of condensation and heat is transferred from the atmosphere to the distillation desalination.

\[ I_r^* A_g = I_r^* \rho_g A_g + q_{rg}^* A_g + q_{hs}^* A_g + q_{ws}^* A_g + q_{cw}^* A_g + q_{w}^* A_g + m_f^* h_f \]  

(1)

\( I_r^* \) is the intensity of solar radiation and \( \rho_g \) is the reflectivity of the glass cover for visible light.

With regard to the heat transfer from the atmosphere by convection, the following is obtained:

\[ q_{hs}^* = h_w (T_g - T_a) \]  

(2)

where \( T_g \) and \( T_a \) are the glass temperature and ambient temperature, respectively. \( h_w \) is the convective heat transfer coefficient, and it is given by Eq. 3 [16]:

\[ h_w = 5.7 + 3.8w \]  

(3)

In this equation, the convection coefficient depends on the wind speed.

Radiant heat transfer from the glass cover to atmosphere air is:

\[ q_{rs}^* = \epsilon_g \sigma (T_s)^4 - (T_{sky})^4 \]  

(4)

where \( \sigma \) is the Boltzmann constant \((5.667 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W} / \text{m}^2\text{K}^4)\) and \( \epsilon_g \) is glass emissivity for infrared radiation \((\epsilon_g = 0.88)\).

Sky temperature \((T_{sky})\) can be calculated from the Eq. 5 [21]:

\[ T_{sky} = 0.0552 T_a^{1.5} \]  

(5)

where \( T_a \) and \( T_{sky} \) are in terms of Kelvin.

Conductive heat transfer from the bottom to the atmosphere can be formulated as follows:

\[ q_b^* = \frac{(T_b - T_a)}{h_w + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda_w}} \]  

(6)

where \( h_w \) is assumed an infinity for liquid water.

Figure 2: Energy balance for the whole of the solar still

Considering the heat transfer by conduction from the peripheral desalination humid air into the atmosphere, we have:

\[ q_{ka}^* = \frac{(T_r - T_a)}{h_w + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda_w}} \]  

(7)

where \( h_r \) is calculated by Eq. 12.

Heat transfer from the liquid to the atmosphere is:

\[ q_{(s)}^* = \frac{([T_i + T_a]/2 - T_a)}{h_w + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda_w}} \]  

(8)

where \( h_w \) is assumed an infinity for liquid water, \( h_f \) is enthalpy of the saturated water at \( T_g \), and \( m_f^* \) is the mass flow rate of condensed water.

Using Fig. 3, the energy balance equation for the glass cover is as follows:

\[ I_r^* A_g + q_{rs}^* A_g \cos \beta + q_{hs}^* A_g + m_f^* h_f = I_r^* \rho_g A_g + q_{cw}^* A_g + q_{bs}^* A_g + q_{bs}^* A_g + m_f^* h_f \]  

(9)

\( \beta \) is the angle of the glass cover, \( q_{bs}^* \) is radiation heat flux from the surface of the water in the glass, \( q_{bs}^* \) is convective heat flux from the water to the glass cover, \( h_f \) is enthalpy of saturation water at \( T_r \), and \( \tau_g \) is glass transmission coefficient for visible light radiation.

Radiation heat transfer from the water to the glass cover is as follows [16]:

\[ q_{ws}^* = \frac{(T_i^4 - T_g^4) + \left( \frac{1 - \epsilon_g}{\epsilon_g} \right) \tau_g \times T_i^4}{\frac{1}{\epsilon_g} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_g}{\epsilon_g} \tau_g} \]  

(10)

\( \epsilon_g \) is glass emissivity and \( \tau_g \) is glass transmission coefficient for infrared radiation.

Convective heat transfer from the water to the glass cover is:

\[ q_{cw}^* = h_r (T_r - T_g) \]  

(11)

Convective heat transfer coefficient \((h_r)\) is presented as follows [22]:

\[ h_r = \frac{0.884 \left( T_i - T_g \right) + \frac{P_i - P_g}{268900 - P_i} T_i^{1/3}}{\lambda_{w}} \]  

(12)

Figure 3: Energy balance for the glass cover
In Eq. 12, \( P_i \) and \( P_r \) are steam pressure at \( T_i \) and \( T_r \), respectively. These pressures have been obtained from Eq. 13 [23]:

\[
P_{\text{partial}} = \exp \left[ \frac{25.317 - 5144}{T} \right]
\]

(13)

Referring to Fig. 4, energy balance for the interface can be written as follows:

\[
I^*_r \tau^*_w A_b = q^*_i A_b = I^*_r \rho^*_w A_b + I^*_r \tau^*_g A_b + q^*_i A_b + q^*_b A_b + m^*_w h_{f,i}
\]

(14)

where \( q^*_i \) is radiation heat flux from the surface of the water in the glass from Eq. 10, \( \tau^*_w \) is transmission coefficient of water, \( r^*_w \) is reflection coefficient of water, \( h_{f,i} \) is enthalpy of the evaporated water at \( T_i \), and \( m^*_w \) is evaporation rate of moist air. Conductive heat transfer from the floor to the interface is:

\[
q_{b,i} = \frac{h^*_w}{R^*_w} (T_b - T_i)
\]

(15)

The convection of seawater interface to the glass cover is:

\[
q_{b,r} = h_i (T_i - T_r)
\]

(16)

The energy balance equation for the black plate according to Fig. 5 can be written as follows:

\[
I^*_r \tau^*_g A_b - I^*_r \tau^*_g \rho^*_w A_b = q^*_i A_b + q^*_b A_b
\]

(17)

where \( \rho^*_b \) is reflection coefficient of the black plate.

As noted in the above equations, \( m^*_w \) is the mass flow rate of condensed water, which is equal to the mass flow rate of the evaporated water mass. This mass flow rate can be determined by Eq. 18 [24].

\[
m^*_w = h_{M,b} \frac{1}{R} (P_i - P_r) A_b
\]

(18)

\( T \) denotes the internal saturated air temperature in Kelvin, and \( R \) indicates the universal gas constant. Moreover, \( P_i \) and \( P_r \) are the water vapor partial pressures at \( T_i \) and \( T_r \), respectively.

In Eq. 18, \( h_{M,b} \) is the mass transfer coefficient for the semi-permeable plane and can be expressed as [16]:

\[
h_{M,b} = h_M \frac{P}{P_i - P_r} \ln \left( \frac{P - P_r}{P - P_i} \right)
\]

(19)

where \( h_M \) is the mass transfer coefficient for the non-permeable plane in (m/s) [16] and \( P \) is the internal air pressure of the still.

The hourly efficiency of the basin solar still can be calculated by the following [16]:

\[
\eta = \frac{m^*_{w,t} \times 2501.3}{I_{s,t} \times A_g} \times 100\%
\]

(20)

where \( m^* \) is the total condensed water in one hour, 2501.3 ml is the vaporization latent heat of water, \( I_{s,t} \) is the total solar radiation per day and \( A_g \) is the area of the glass cover.

The constant values used in calculations are presented in Table 1.

4. The solution of equations

According to the obtained system of nonlinear algebraic equations, nonlinear equations with four unknowns \( T_b \), \( T_i \), \( T_r \) and \( T_g \) were obtained. To solve this system, a computer program was written based on the energy balance equations to obtain the temperature of the glass cover, internal moist air, bottom and interface. The values of these temperatures could help us in the theoretical study of the amount of evaporated water in solar radiation with ambient temperature. In this program, the Newton-Raphson algorithm was used to solve the system of nonlinear algebraic equations.

5. Results and Discussion

First, to assure the accuracy of the numerical results for the basin solar still, a comparison was made between the results of the present work and those of Ayav et al. [16] on 28th April 2003. Three rows of comparative numbers are provided in Table 2. Despite the unavailability of some input parameters [16], the results obtained in the present work show...
Table 2: Comparison of the results of the present work with the research conducted by Ayav et al. [16] on 28th April 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>$T_{bot}$</th>
<th>$T_{moistair}$</th>
<th>$T_{interface}$</th>
<th>$T_{glass}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>62.26</td>
<td>45.01</td>
<td>53.47</td>
<td>25.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>73.91</td>
<td>58.19</td>
<td>64.12</td>
<td>28.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>89.06</td>
<td>76.38</td>
<td>79.12</td>
<td>35.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>64.03</td>
<td>46.18</td>
<td>54.45</td>
<td>26.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>76.41</td>
<td>59.83</td>
<td>64.61</td>
<td>28.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>78.82</td>
<td>80.12</td>
<td>36.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Hourly variation of absorption of solar radiation on 6th July [25]

Figure 7: Hourly variation of temperature values in the basin solar still (Wind speed: 2 m/s, ambient temperature: 30°C)

Figure 8: Hourly variation of distilled water values in the basin solar still (Wind speed: 2 m/s, ambient temperature: 30°C)

good agreement. It seems that the proposed model in this paper is suitable for the analysis of different parameters.

Table 2. Comparison of the results of the present work with the research conducted by Ayav et al. [16] on 28th April 2003

An initial investment is required to build desalination plants. Another important parameter is the adaptation of desalination to different climatic conditions. Therefore, in this paper, the effect of parameters such as wind speed, ambient temperature and solar radiation on the amount of distilled water is investigated. This study was conducted for the city of Chabahar on 6th July. The solar desalination unit has an inclined glass at an angle equal to the latitude of Chabahar (25 degrees to the south) where the maximum amount of radiation is absorbed [9].

Based on the extracted reference values [25], the hourly variation of absorption of solar radiation on 6th July for the city of Chabahar is shown in Fig. 6.

In Fig. 7, the hourly variation temperature of different parts of the desalination unit is displayed. It can be seen that the hourly variation in temperature is similar to the hourly variation of solar radiation absorption. Moreover, it is observed that the maximum and minimum temperature occur at the bottom of the pond and glass cover, respectively. In addi-

tion, it can be found that interface temperature and saturation temperature differences are small due to the evaporation process. At noon, when solar radiation is higher, the temperature difference between the bottom, the glass cover and the interface increases significantly.

Fig. 8 shows the hourly variation of distilled water values in the basin solar still. By comparing this figure with Fig. 6, it can be seen that the rate of distilled water produced is directly related to the amount of solar radiation. In addition, this figure reveals that the rate of distillation increases to 700 ml/hr per square meter of glass cover at noon. As seen in Fig. 7, the temperature difference between the glass cover and the interface temperature increases at noon. Thus, according to Eq. 12, the convective heat transfer coefficient increases, and consequently the rate of evaporation and condensation goes up.

— 48 —
Hourly variation of accumulative productivity is shown in Fig. 9. The distilled water produced is 4.806 lit/m$^2$ from 6:30 to 17:30. As can be seen, the production rate begins slowly in the morning but increases at noon. The hourly variation of efficiency is presented in Fig. 10. It can be observed that the efficiency increases to 50% at noon. From this figure, it is clear that there is a direct relationship between efficiency and the amount of solar radiation.

Effect of wind speed on the amount of distilled water produced is reported in Table 3. The ambient temperature is considered constant (30°C). As can be seen, the wind speed has little influence on the amount of distilled water produced (maximum 2% for wind speed of 10 m/s). However, it seems that when solar radiation is high, wind speed increases the production of distilled water.

Effect of ambient temperature on the amount of distilled water produced is presented in Table 4. The wind speed is considered constant (2 m/s). It can be seen that for a 20 degree rise in ambient temperature in the morning (when solar radiation is low), the amount of distilled water produced increases 6.68%. At noon (when solar radiation is high), the amount of distilled water produced does not change if the ambient temperature is varied.

6. Conclusion

Analysis of the effects of selected climatic parameters on a basin solar still was carried out for the city of Chabahar (Iran) on 6th July and the results are as follows:

1. Rate of distillation increases to 700 ml/hr per square meter of glass cover at noon on 6th July.
2. The distilled water produced is 4.806 lit/m$^2$ from 6:30 to 16:30.
3. Efficiency increases to 50% at noon, and there is a direct relationship between efficiency and the amount of solar radiation.
4. Wind speed does not much influence on the amount of distilled water produced.
5. For a 20-degree rise in ambient temperature in the morning (solar radiation is low), the amount of distilled water produced increases 6.68%.
6. At noon (solar radiation is high), the ambient temperature has little influence on the amount of distilled water produced.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_w$</td>
<td>transmittance of water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_g$</td>
<td>emissivity of the glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_w$</td>
<td>emissivity of water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>hourly efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>thermal conductivity, W/mK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_w$</td>
<td>reflectivity of water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_g$</td>
<td>reflectivity of glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_b$</td>
<td>reflectivity of black basin bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>Stefan–Boltzmann constant, $=5.67 \times 10^{-8}$ W/m$^2$ K$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_g$</td>
<td>glass transmittance for visible light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau'_g$</td>
<td>transmittance of glass for infrared radiation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>